

COMMENT RESPONSE DOCUMENT

CAA PAD No. 1993

Published on 25 February 2022 and officially closed for comments on 25 March 2022

Commenter 1: Question referred to CAA by Survitec Group Ltd 4 April 2022

Comment # 1

“4 months compliance time will make it difficult achieve with aircraft parked away from base due to local resource and set up of inspection documents due to internal processes – more time would be practicable”

Copy comment #1 here

CAA response:

CAA disagree with this comment. The physical exercise of inspecting each life jacket is not significantly time consuming. CAA considers that 4 months compliance time from the effective date of the AD coupled with existing time since the publication of the Survitec ASB 25-207-A provides sufficient time to comply with the actions required by this AD.

Commenter 2: Question referred to CAA by Survitec Group Ltd 4 April 2022

Comment # 2

Is it really necessary to write on the valise the SB number? The reason I ask this is because we have thousands of these lifejackets in the business and adds considerable amount of time to the inspections. We can demonstrate compliance with this ASB (and pending AD) through the Workorders raised and stock holding checks carried out.”

CAA response:

CAA disagree with this comment. It is necessary to confirm the status of each affected lifejacket with respect to compliance with this AD. CAA believe that the most effective way to do this is to mark compliance on each valise once the inspection has been carried out. This will provide consistency and easy recognition of compliance if/when the lifejacket and aircraft move to another owner/lessee.