

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 10 February 2020 14:20
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: 20200123 PIR ACP 2011_02 Greater Wash And Humber Gateway Transponder Mandatory Zones (TMZ)

1. Dear [REDACTED]
2. Further to my last, please find responses below. As before, I await a response from Swanwick MIL. As soon as I have this, I will forward.
3. Apologies again for the delay in providing this
4. Regards,
5. [REDACTED]
6. *"The Sponsor reports that] "since implementation, there have been no significant increase or decrease in aircraft movements and/or change in the type of aircraft overflying these areas, [nor any] ~~or some~~ other relevant event during the period of the review (2nd May 2013 and 31st April 2015)."*

Would you please review this response now that the PIR is considering discrete review periods for each of the TMZs.

For Greater Wash TMZ

Since implementation, there have been no significant increase or decrease in aircraft movements and/or change in the type of aircraft overflying these areas, (nor any) other relevant event during the period of the review (13 October 2016 – 12th October 2018)

For Humber Gateway TMZ

Since implementation, there have been no significant increase or decrease in aircraft movements and/or change in the type of aircraft overflying these areas, (nor any) other relevant event during the period of the review (1 May 2014 – 30th April 2016)

2. [The Sponsor reports that] *"there were no unforeseen or unintended operational impacts of the proposal during the period of the review (2nd May 2013 and 31st April 2015)".*

Would you please confirm that this statement is true for the discrete review periods for each of the TMZs.

For Greater Wash TMZ

There were no unforeseen or unintended operational impacts of the proposal during the period of the review (13 October 2016 – 12th October 2018)

For Humber Gateway TMZ

There were no unforeseen or unintended operational impacts of the proposal during the period of the review (1 May 2014 – 30th April 2016)

3. [The Sponsor reports that] *"no additional resources were required to support the revised operation during the period of the review (2nd May 2013 and 31st April 2015)"*

Would you please confirm that this statement is true for the discrete review periods for each of the TMZs.

For Greater Wash TMZ

No additional resources were required to support the revised operation during the period of the review (13 October 2016 – 12th October 2018)

For Humber Gateway TMZ

No additional resources were required to support the revised operation during the period of the review (1 May 2014 – 30th April 2016)

4. [The Sponsor reports that] “no Ministry of Defence feedback was received by Anglia radar during the period of the review (2nd May 2013 to 31st April 2015).”

Would you please confirm that this statement is true for the discrete review periods for each of the TMZs.

For Greater Wash TMZ

No Ministry of Defence feedback was received by Anglia radar during the period of the review (13 October 2016 – 12th October 2018)

For Humber Gateway TMZ

No Ministry of Defence feedback was received by Anglia radar during the period of the review (1 May 2014 – 30th April 2016)

5. {The Sponsor reports that} “no significant issues were note during the period of the review (2nd May 2013 to 31st April 2015).”

Would you please confirm that this statement is true for the discrete review periods for each of the TMZs.

No significant issues were note during the period of the review (13 October 2016 – 12th October 2018)

For Humber Gateway TMZ

No significant issues were note during the period of the review (1 May 2014 – 30th April 2016)

6. It was a condition of the decision LATCC (Mil) ATC were approved to provide radar services within the TMZ(s) using SSR alone. Please confirm the dates when this approval was granted. I seem to recall that we discussed that a response from Swanwick RAF(U) was still outstanding when we met on the 17th December. I would be grateful if you would chase this.

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 10 February 2020 10:54

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: 20200123 PIR ACP 2011_02 Greater Wash And Humber Gateway Transponder Mandatory Zones (TMZ)

Good morning [REDACTED]

Another week has passed, have you been able to make any progress?

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Airspace Regulator (Coordination)
Airspace, ATM and Aerodromes
Safety and Airspace Regulation Group
Civil Aviation Authority

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 28 January 2020 09:24

To: [REDACTED] >

Subject: RE: 20200123 PIR ACP 2011_02 Greater Wash And Humber Gateway Transponder Mandatory Zones (TMZ)

Good morning [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] been able to make any progress with this? I am only working today and Thursday this week and then will be out of the country until February 8th. I am hoping to get my report published by the end of the third week of February so would very much appreciate your response asap.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Airspace Regulator (Coordination)
Airspace, ATM and Aerodromes
Safety and Airspace Regulation Group
Civil Aviation Authority

From: Airspace Policy

Sent: 23 January 2020 14:04

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: 20200123 PIR ACP 2011_02 Greater Wash And Humber Gateway Transponder Mandatory Zones (TMZ)

Good afternoon [REDACTED]

I have now all but completed my draft of the PIR, however I still need to confirm the following points with you.

To quote from [REDACTED] earlier response;

1. *"The Sponsor reports that] "since implementation, there have been no significant increase or decrease in aircraft movements and/or change in the type of aircraft overflying these areas, [nor any] ~~or some~~ other relevant event during the period of the review (2nd May 2013 and 31st April 2015)."*

Would you please review this response now that the PIR is considering discrete review periods for each of the TMZs.

2. *[The Sponsor reports that] "there were no unforeseen or unintended operational impacts of the proposal during the period of the review (2nd May 2013 and 31st April 2015)".*

Would you please confirm that this statement is true for the discrete review periods for each of the TMZs.

3. *[The Sponsor reports that] "no additional resources were required to support the revised operation during the period of the review (2nd May 2013 and 31st April 2015)"*

Would you please confirm that this statement is true for the discrete review periods for each of the TMZs.

4. *[The Sponsor reports that] "no Ministry of Defence feedback was received by Anglia radar during the period of the review (2nd May 2013 to 31st April 2015)."*

Would you please confirm that this statement is true for the discrete review periods for each of the TMZs.

5. {The Sponsor reports that] “no significant issues were note during the period of the review (2nd May 2013 to 31st April 2015).””

Would you please confirm that this statement is true for the discrete review periods for each of the TMZs.

6. It was a condition of the decision LATCC (Mil) ATC were approved to provide radar services within the TMZ(s) using SSR alone. Please confirm the dates when this approval was granted. I seem to recall that we discussed that a response from Swanwick RAF(U) was still outstanding when we met on the 17th December. I would be grateful if you would chase this.

Kind regards,



Airspace Regulator (Coordination)
Airspace, ATM and Aerodromes
Safety and Airspace Regulation Group
Civil Aviation Authority

Before Printing consider the environment. This e-mail and any attachment(s) are for authorised use by the intended recipient(s) only. It may contain proprietary material, confidential information and/or be subject to legal privilege. If you are not an intended recipient then please promptly delete this e-mail, as well as any associated attachment(s) and inform the sender. It should not be copied, disclosed to, retained or used by, any other party. Thank you. We cannot accept any liability for any loss or damage sustained as a result of software viruses. You must carry out such virus checking as is necessary before opening any attachment to this message. Please note that all e-mail messages sent to the Civil Aviation Authority are subject to monitoring / interception for lawful business.

If you are not the intended recipient, please notify our Help Desk at Email.Information.Solutions@nats.co.uk immediately. You should not copy or use this email or attachment(s) for any purpose nor disclose their contents to any other person.

NATS computer systems may be monitored and communications carried on them recorded, to secure the effective operation of the system.

Please note that neither NATS nor the sender accepts any responsibility for viruses or any losses caused as a result of viruses and it is your responsibility to scan or otherwise check this email and any attachments.

NATS means NATS (En Route) plc (company number: 4129273), NATS (Services) Ltd (company number 4129270), NATSNAV Ltd (company number: 4164590) or NATS Ltd (company number 3155567) or NATS Holdings Ltd (company number 4138218). All companies are registered in England and their registered office is at 4000 Parkway, Whiteley, Fareham, Hampshire, PO15 7FL.
