

Post Implementation Review Feedback Form

<b>Title:</b> Greater Wash and Humber Gateway Transponder Mandatory Zones (TMZ)	<b>Post Implementation Review Feedback</b>
<b>ACP Ref:</b> ACP12-02	<b>Approval Date:</b> 27/03/2012
<b>Decision Letter:</b> <a href="#">Click Here</a>	<b>Implementation Date:</b> 02/05/2013


<b>1. Did the original proposal meet the intended objectives as described on the CAA's decision letter to approve the change?</b>	<b>Yes</b>
If no, please provide additional comments...	
<b>2. Did the original proposal meet any conditions described on the CAA's decision letter to approve the change?</b>	<b>Yes</b>
If no, please provide additional comments...	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. No recorded occasions of an aircraft having to avoid the TMZ due to lack of a serviceable transponder.</li> <li>2. No recorded occasions where Anglia Radar has approved transit of the TMZ by a non-transponder equipped aircraft.</li> <li>3. Three recorded infringements:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) 07/05/14 – Believed to be an F15. Infringement could not be confirmed (ie aircraft not traced, and no Mode C so could have been above the TMZ) but entered the lateral confines of the TMZ twice. Helicopter given Avoiding Action twice to maintain required Deconfliction Minima.</li> <li>(ii) 05/08/14 – Slow moving. Not traced at the time. Later believed to be a home-built microlight known to have routed from Belgium to EGPC (Wick).</li> <li>(iii) 04/09/14 – GCLEA, one of a group of three light a/c routing from EGNW to EGSB. Pilot aware of TMZ. No longer in formation with leading two but forgot to turn transponder back on.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	
<b>3. Did the Sponsor receive any observations from community stakeholders, aviation stakeholders or the Ministry of Defence from the 12 months following implementation?</b>	<b>No</b>
If yes, please provide additional comments...	

Post Implementation Review Feedback Form

Name of individual	[REDACTED]
Position	Airspace Change Team Lead
Date	25/09/2018

**For CAA use only.**

Has the Sponsor indicated that the original proposal met the objectives as described in the CAA's decision to approve the change?	<b>Yes</b>
Has the Sponsor indicated that the original proposal met any conditions as described in the CAA's decision to approve the change?	<b>Yes</b>
Has the Sponsor highlighted any observations from community stakeholders, aviation stakeholders or the Ministry of Defence?	<b>No</b>

<b>Sign Off</b>	
Does the CAA recommend that a post implementation review is conducted?	<b>Yes</b>
Signed: 	
Name: [REDACTED] Manager Airspace Regulation/Principal Airspace Regulator (delete as applicable)	
Date: <b>31/07/2019</b>	