

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 01 April 2019 08:33
To: Airspace Policy
Subject: RE: PIR - Cranfield RWY03 IAPs - additional question concerning approaches data

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Categories: [REDACTED]

Good Morning [REDACTED],

Unfortunately, we cannot split the data.

Kind Regards

From: Airspace Policy <Airspace.Policy@caa.co.uk>
Sent: 29 March 2019 09:19
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: PIR - Cranfield RWY03 IAPs - additional question concerning approaches data

Good morning [REDACTED]

It has now been a couple of weeks since your previous email in which you were seeking help from your ATC team to split the statistics. Would I be correct to assume that they have been unable to help and that I will need to progress with the data in the form you have previously provided?

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Airspace Regulator (Coordination)
Airspace, ATM and Aerodromes
Safety and Airspace Regulation Group
Civil Aviation Authority

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 11 March 2019 13:16
To: Airspace Policy <Airspace.Policy@caa.co.uk>
Subject: RE: PIR - Cranfield RWY03 IAPs - additional question concerning approaches data

Hello [REDACTED]

Our accounts department don't feel that there is a way on the Aldis system that they use to differentiate between 03 and 21. They will however speak to Air Traffic Control to see whether

they know of a way.

I'll keep you updated.

Thanks

■

From: Airspace Policy <Airspace.Policy@caa.co.uk>

Sent: 11 March 2019 11:13

To: ■

Subject: RE: PIR - Cranfield RWY03 IAPs - additional question concerning approaches data

Good morning ■

So that I can make a judgement as to whether there is a significant problem, when you say there is “... *no easy way...*”. Does this mean there is a difficult/very time consuming way or do you really mean that it can't be done at all?

...

Kind regards,

■

■

Airspace Regulator (Coordination)
Airspace, ATM and Aerodromes
Safety and Airspace Regulation Group
Civil Aviation Authority

From ■

Sent: 11 March 2019 09:26

To: Airspace Policy <Airspace.Policy@caa.co.uk>

Subject: RE: PIR - Cranfield RWY03 IAPs - additional question concerning approaches data

Good Morning ■

I have spoken with our accounts department and there is no easy way of splitting the approaches between Rwy 21 and Rwy 03 I'm afraid.

This is something that we can move forward but is going to be very difficult to collate past statistics.

Is this going to present a problem ?

Thanks

■

From: Airspace Policy <Airspace.Policy@caa.co.uk>

Sent: 08 March 2019 15:42

To: ■

Subject: PIR - Cranfield RWY03 IAPs - additional question concerning approaches data

Good afternoon Jo

With reference to my emails dated 03/01/2019,10/01/2019 and 07/03/2019 and your replies dated 08/01/2019, 10/01/2019 and 07/03/2019 concerning the requirement in the Decision Letter for "information on the number and types of approach undertaken".

When you ask your accounts department to "split the statistics" would you also ask them to provide data on the aircraft type associated with each approach using the new procedure. It might be more efficient for your accounts department to provide an Excel spreadsheet quoting the date, time, type of approach and aircraft type from which I can then manipulate the data for inclusion in the report. Just to confirm, I am only looking for data for the period after implementation (i.e. 28th June 2012 26th July 2013).

Kind regards,

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Airspace Regulator (Coordination)
Airspace, ATM and Aerodromes
Safety and Airspace Regulation Group
Civil Aviation Authority

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 07 March 2019 13:56
To: Airspace Policy <Airspace.Policy@caa.co.uk>
Subject: RE: PIR - Cranfield RWY03 IAPs - additional questions

Hello [Redacted]
I will ask our accounts department whether they have been able to progress this.
Kind Regards

[Redacted]

From: Airspace Policy <Airspace.Policy@caa.co.uk>
Sent: 07 March 2019 13:29
To: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: PIR - Cranfield RWY03 IAPs - additional questions

Good afternoon [Redacted]

Just going through my records as I hope to conclude my report very soon. Please see highlighted text below.
Should I presume that your team have not been able to "split the statistics" given the time since my original request?

Kind regards,

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 10 January 2019 16:35
To: Airspace Policy <Airspace.Policy@caa.co.uk>
Subject: RE: PIR - Cranfield RWY03 IAPs - additional questions

Hello [REDACTED],

1. Sorry, it was meant to say “we assume that a training package”
2. 2015/340 is a licensing and training requirement for air traffic controllers.
3. At this time we are not able to split the statistics between 03 and 21. I will speak to the relevant department and see whether this is something that can be achieved for you for the 12 months after the implementation date.

Kind Regards,

[REDACTED]

Before Printing consider the environment. This e-mail and any attachment(s) are for authorised use by the intended recipient(s) only. It may contain proprietary material, confidential information and/or be subject to legal privilege. If you are not an intended recipient then please promptly delete this e-mail, as well as any associated attachment(s) and inform the sender. It should not be copied, disclosed to, retained or used by, any other party. Thank you. We cannot accept any liability for any loss or damage sustained as a result of software viruses. You must carry out such virus checking as is necessary before opening any attachment to this message. Please note that all e-mail messages sent to the Civil Aviation Authority are subject to monitoring / interception for lawful business.
